From: Sent:

Robert Stable [rstable@bond.edu.au] Thursday, 2 June 2005 3:34 PM

To:

morris@tjryan.com.au

Cc:

**BHCI** 

Subject:

FW: Letters to the Editor from Professor Robert Stable, former Director General,

Queensland Health

## Commissioner

I apologise if this contact with you is inappropriate.

However, I have just received the email below from Sean Parnell and I am in Melbourne. I have also just been given 'the right of reply' by Tony Keim from The Courier Mail. For the record, Sean Parnell emailed my office yesterday at 3.04 pm and I returned his call at about 5.00 pm yesterday, Wednesday 2 June. I had not spoken to him since I retired as Director General of Health. My statement to him was the same as to all other media enquiries to date and that was that I am available to assist the Inquiry in any way that I can. I rang him a few minutes ago and he has advised me that he emailed questions to you at about 3 pm yesterday, but clearly these were in no way connected to my statement at about 5 pm.

My conversation this morning was with Sallyanne Atkinson, an old family friend, as I was departing the lounge to board a 7.05 am flight to Melbourne. She asked how I was and I commented along the lines that I was okay. Either she or I mentioned the Inquiry (- I don't remember who) and I said that it was a difficult situation, or words to that effect. She made a comment regarding David Molloy and I commented about the information that was in The Weekend Australian last weekend and which was repeated to me by Sean Parnell last evening. The whole conversation lasted less than 60 seconds. I was totally unaware of any role that her son may have with the Commission. I copied a 'Letter to the Editor' which I wrote on the plane to Melbourne today to your Commission website. Obviously, it was sent after the plane landed in Melbourne and I arrived at the venue where I was meeting today. This email was my first attempt to correct the public record on an issue raised in the media.

The final paragraph states as follows and I remain committed to supporting your Inquiry in any way that I can:

Finally, I have to date refrained from adding to the media frenzy out of respect for the Commission of Inquiry which I see as an excellent opportunity to improve a good, albeit as demonstrated not perfect, health system. I certainly hope that the Commission of Inquiry and the Forster Review are able to make evidence-based recommendations for such improvements and I look forward to this.

Again, I apologise if you consider this communication to be inappropriate but I do wish to set the record straight.

Professor Robert Stable Vice-Chancellor and President Bond University Gold Coast Q 4229

AUSTRALIA

Telephone: From within Australia: 07 5595 1050

From Overseas: + 61 7 5595 1050

Email: robert stable@bond.edu.au

This message is intended only for the use of the individual (s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or proprietary to Bond University. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, forwarding, or copying of this communication is prohibited without the express permission of the sender. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message.

----Original Message----

From: Parnell, Sean [mailto:parnells@theaustralian.com.au]

Sent: Thursday, 2 June 2005 10:36 AM

To: 'Robert Stable'

Subject: RE: Letters to the Editor from Professor Robert Stable, former Di rector General, Queensland Health

Hi Professor Stable

I understand the Commissioner may have inferred today that you are trying to misuse the media to intimidate the inquiry. I'm not sure how the other media will portray his comments, but I categorically deny doing your bidding or anyone elses. I have posed questions of the Commissioner on several occasions based on my observations of what has been happening in and out of the hearings, and what a range of other sources tell me is happening. The fact that I had initiated contact with you, partly as someone with knowledge of how the system is run, is Journalism 101 and should not be misinstrued.

I'll be reading the transcript tonight and responding to the Commissioner and suggest you might want to do the same. I will continue writing my analysis pieces in The Weekend Australian, though, as I believe scrutiny of the scrutineers is, in this case, very important.

Kind regards,

Sean Parnell

----Original Message----

From: Robert Stable [mailto:rstable@bond.edu.au]

Sent: Thursday, 2 June 2005 9:51 AM
To: cmletters@qnp.newsltd.com.au

Cc: Thomas Hedley; bhci@bhci.qld.gov.au

Subject: Letters to the Editor from Professor Robert Stable, former Director General,

Queensland Health

Editor - The Courier Mail

I request that the letter as follows be published in full.

Thank you

Dear Editor

I am flattered that John Black (Courier Mail, 2 June 05) believes that I was 'personally headhunted as director-general'. As Mr Black and numerous other Journalists who have asked me to comment on current issues know where I now work, I would have expected a responsible journalist to have at least given me the chance to verify his assertions with me. I hope that Mr Black did better research as a politician than as a journalist!

For the record, the only attempt to head hunt me was by the then State President of the Australian Medical Association, Dr Stephen Phillips, who contacted me and encouraged me to apply. However, it was common knowledge at the time that Minister Beattie hoped to be able to appoint a Director-General with extensive clinical and administrative experience. I understand that I was one of 14 applicants of whom 5 were interviewed.

My appointment was welcomed at the time by the then Opposition Health Spokesperson,  $Mike\ Horan.$ 

As I advised Commissioner Morris from Melbourne on Wednesday 27 April 2005, the day after the Commission of Inquiry was announced, 'I will assist your Inquiry as much as I can regarding any matters during the period of my appointment as Director-General, and during my extensive career in health, if requested or required to do so'. I have repeated this statement to several journalists since that date.

I also advised the Commissioner, in order that he was aware, that 'Sir Llew Edwards was one of my four referees when I successfully applied for the Director-General position'.

If I am invited to present at the Commission, I will also advise the Commission of a further potential 'conflict of interest' in that I have been a member of the Australian Medical Association for 32 years although I do not agree with several of the opinions and assertions expressed by my friend, Dr David Molloy, the current State President, and whom I have known since school.

I will also advise the Commissioner, amongst other things, of the Farewell Dinner held by the Australian Medical Association to personally thank me when I retired as Director-General. This later fact is somewhat inconsistent with some of the assertions being made in the Commission.

Finally, I have to date refrained from adding to the media frenzy out of respect for the Commission of Inquiry which I see as an excellent opportunity to improve a good, albeit as demonstrated not perfect, health system. I certainly hope that the Commission of Inquiry and the Forster Review are able to make evidence-based recommendations for such improvements and I look forward to this.

Robert Stable

Former Director General, Queensland Health

2 June 2005

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments which does not relate to the official business of the sending company must be taken not to have been sent or endorsed by that company or any of its related entities. No warranty is made that the e-mail or attachment(s) are free from computer virus or other defect.